2013 JUL 12 AM 11: 57

CLERK US DECTRIS COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ZACK AARONSON, as an individual and purportedly on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

VITAL PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., a Florida corporation,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 09cv1333-W(KSC)

AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER REGULATING DISCOVERY AND OTHER PRE-TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

Pursuant to Rule 16.1(d) of the Local Rules, a Case Management Conference was held on June 26, 2013. After consulting with the attorneys of record for the parties and being advised of the status of the case, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. All fact discovery shall be completed by all parties on or before October 25, 2013. "Completed" means that all discovery under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and discovery subpoenas under Rule 45, must be initiated a sufficient period of time in advance of the cut-off date, so that it may be completed by the cut-off date, taking into account the times for service, notice and response as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Counsel shall promptly and in good faith meet and confer with regard to all discovery disputes in compliance with Local Rule 26.1(a). The Court expects counsel to make every effort

to resolve all disputes without court intervention through the meet and confer process. All discovery motions must be filed within 45 days of the service of an objection, answer, or response which become the subject of dispute, or the passage of a discovery due date without response or production, and only after counsel have met and conferred and have reached an impasse with regard to the particular issue. For oral discovery, the event giving rise to the dispute is the completion of the transcript of the affected portion of the deposition. In any case, the event giving rise to a discovery dispute is not the date on which counsel reach an impasse in meet and confer efforts. If the discovery dispute concerns written discovery requests, the parties shall submit a joint statement entitled, "Joint Motion for Determination of Discovery Dispute" with the Court. (For further information on resolving discovery disputes, see Judge Crawford's "Chambers' Rules" which are accessible via the Court's website at www.casd.uscourts.gov.) A failure to comply in this regard will result in a waiver of a party's discovery issue. Absent an order of the Court, no stipulation continuing or altering this requirement will be recognized by the Court.

- 2. The parties shall designate their respective experts in writing by *November 25, 2013*. The parties must identify any person who may be used at trial to present evidence pursuant to Rules 702, 703 or 705 of the Fed. R. Evid. This requirement is not limited to retained experts. The date for exchange of rebuttal experts shall be on or before *December 9, 2013*. The written designations shall include the name, address and telephone number of the expert and a reasonable summary of the testimony the expert is expected to provide. The list shall also include the normal rates the expert charges for deposition and trial testimony.
- 3. On or before <u>January 10, 2014</u>, each party shall comply with the disclosure provisions in Rule 26(a)(2)(A) and (B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This disclosure requirement applies to all persons retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony, or whose duties as an employee of the party regularly involve the giving of expert testimony.

8

13

14

12

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28

- Any party shall supplement its disclosure regarding contradictory or 4. rebuttal evidence under Rule 26(a)(2)(c) on or before January 24, 2014.
- All expert discovery shall be completed by all parties on or before February 24, 2014. The parties shall comply with the same procedures set forth in the paragraph governing fact discovery.
- Please be advised that failure to comply with discovery deadlines or any 6. other discovery order of the Court may result in the sanctions provided for in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37, including a prohibition on the introduction of experts or other designated matters in evidence.
- A Mandatory Settlement Conference shall be conducted on *March 17*. 2014 at 9:30 a.m. in the chambers of Magistrate Judge Karen S. Crawford, United States Courthouse, 333 West Broadway, Suite 1010, San Diego, California 92101. Counsel or any party representing himself or herself shall submit confidential settlement briefs directly to chambers no later than March 10, 2014. All parties are ordered to read and to fully comply with the settlement conference procedures set forth in Judge Crawford's Chambers Rules which are accessible via the Court's website at www.casd.uscourts.gov.
- All other pretrial motions must be filed on or before *March 31, 2014*. 8. Counsel for the moving party must obtain a motion hearing date from the law clerk of the District Judge who will hear the motion. The period of time between the date you request a motion date and the hearing date may vary from one District Judge to another. Please plan accordingly. Failure to make a timely request for a motion date may result in the motion not being heard.
- Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.1(f)(3)(c), if an opposing party fails to file 9. opposition papers in the time and manner required by Civil Local Rule 7.1(e)(2), that failure may constitute a consent to the granting of a motion or other request for ruling by the Court. Accordingly, all parties are ordered to abide by the terms of Local Rule 7.1(e)(2) or otherwise face the prospect of any pretrial motion being granted as an

unopposed motion pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.1(f)(3)(c). Should either party choose to file or oppose a motion for summary judgment or partial summary judgment, no Separate Statement of Disputed or Undisputed Facts is required.

- 10. Despite the requirements of Civil Local Rule 16.1(f)(2), neither party is required to file a Memorandum of Contentions of Fact and Law at any time. The parties shall instead focus their efforts on drafting and submitting a proposed pretrial order by the time and date specified by Civil Local Rule 16.1(f)(6)(b). The proposed pretrial order shall comply with Civil Local Rule 16.1(f)(6) and the Standing Order in Civil Cases issued by the assigned District Judge.
- 11. Counsel shall comply with the pre-trial disclosure requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3) on or before <u>June 30, 2014</u>. Failure to comply with these disclosure requirements could result in evidence preclusion or other sanctions under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37.
- 12. Counsel shall meet and take the action required by Local Rule 16.1(f)(4) on or before <u>July 7, 2014</u>. At this meeting, counsel shall discuss and attempt to enter into stipulations and agreements resulting in simplification of the triable issues. Counsel shall exchange copies and/or display all exhibits other than those to be used for impeachment. The exhibits shall be prepared in accordance with Local Rule 16.1(f)(4)(c). Counsel shall note any objections they have to any other parties' Pretrial Disclosures under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). Counsel shall cooperate in the preparation of the proposed pretrial conference order.
- and arranging the meetings of counsel pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16.1(f). On or before <u>July 14, 2014</u>, plaintiff's counsel must provide opposing counsel with the proposed pretrial order for review and approval. Opposing counsel must communicate promptly with plaintiff's attorney concerning any objections to form or content of the pretrial order, and both parties shall attempt promptly to resolve their differences, if any, concerning the order.

- 14. The Proposed Final Pretrial Conference Order, including objections to any other parties' Federal Rule 26(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures shall be prepared, served and lodged with the assigned District Judge on or before <u>July 21, 2014</u>, and shall be in the form prescribed in and comply with Local Rule 16.1(f)(6).
- 15. In addition to submitting the proposed final pretrial conference order, the parties are further ordered to separately submit informal letter briefs, not exceeding two single spaced pages, served on opposing counsel and received in the chambers of **Judge Thomas J. Whelan**, United States District Judge (and not filed with the Clerk's Office) no later than **July 21, 2014**.

The letter brief should be a relatively informal and straightforward document. The letter brief should outline a short, concise and objective factual summary of the party's case in chief, the number of hours/days each party intends to expend at trial, the approximate number of witnesses, whether certain witnesses will be coming in from out of town, the number of testifying expert witnesses, whether any unique demonstrative exhibits may be presented, the number of proposed motions in limine that may be filed, precisely when the parties would be prepared to submit their in limine papers (and whether the parties have met and conferred with respect to in limine issues), the issue of proposed jury instructions and when the parties intend to submit them before trial, and voir dire issues, either party's preference as to what date(s) the trial should begin and any other pertinent information that either party may deem useful to assist the Court in the execution of the pretrial conference and in setting the matter for trial.

- 16. The final Pretrial Conference is scheduled on the calendar of **Judge** Whelan on **July 28, 2014** at **10:30 a.m**.
- 17. A post trial settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge may be held within 30 days of verdict in the case.
- 18. The dates and times set forth herein will not be modified except for good cause shown.

- 19. Briefs or memoranda in support of or in opposition to any pending motion shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages in length without leave of a District Court Judge. No reply memorandum shall exceed ten (10) pages without leave of a District Court Judge. Briefs and memoranda exceeding ten (10) pages in length shall have a table of contents and a table of authorities cited.
- 20. All other terms and conditions of the Scheduling Orders filed April 23, 2012 and August 23, 2012 shall remain in full force and effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

KAREN %. CRAWFORD United States Magistrate Judge